

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6299 of 1984

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----  
ELECON ENGG COMPANY LTD

Versus

PURSHOTTAM R PARMAR

-----  
Appearance:

MR KM PATEL for Petitioner

MR YATIN SONI for Respondent No. 1

MR PURSHOTTAM R PARMAR, Workman, present in person.

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 26/08/96

ORAL JUDGMENT

Heard learned counsel for the parties. The learned counsel for the petitioner filed consent terms. The learned counsel for the parties made a statement before this Court that this writ petition be disposed of in terms of compromise arrived into between the parties.

2. The petitioner management has filed this Special Civil Application before this Court against the Award of

the Labour Court, Nadiad in Ref. No.(LCN) 220/83 and old No.222/81 dated 18.6.84, under which, the respondent workman was ordered to be reinstated back in services with continuaty in service, but he was not given backwages. Now, under the consent terms, the workman has settled all his claims, i.e. claim of reinstatement, backwages, retrenchment compensation, etc. This settlement is taken on record. On the consent terms, the Award of the Labour Court, in the aforeasaid case stands modified accordingly.

3. This Special Civil Application is disposed of.  
Rule is made absolute in aforeasaid terms with no order as to costs.

.....

(sunil)